

**DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
DIVISION OF FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE**

2020 W. El Camino Avenue, Suite 670, 95833
P.O. Box 952054
Sacramento, CA 94252-2054
(916) 263-2771
www.hcd.ca.gov



California COVID-19 Rent Relief Program Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – September 30, 2021

Highlights and Milestones

The California COVID-19 Rent Relief Program achieved a significant milestone in September by *surpassing* the federal requirement to obligate 65 percent of Emergency Rental Assistance (ERA) funds by September 30, 2021. The state-administered program obligated more than 120 percent of the \$1.2 billion ERA1¹ budget with over **\$1.5 billion committed** to individual households. With more than 100 percent of its ERA1 funds committed the state program is rapidly obligating ERA2² funds.

The state-administered program has increased the total amount of rent and utility assistance that has been paid out from \$73 million as of the last week of June to over **\$649 million expended** by September 30, assisting over **54,900 households** across the state. As of the end of September, total weekly payments exceeded \$70 million and continued to climb.

In addition to the strong performance of the state-administered Rent Relief Program, locally administered programs have cumulatively obligated more than **\$523 million** and paid out nearly **\$195 million** of state block grant funds assisting more than **33,600 households** with rent and utilities through September 30.

Key achievements

Application volumes for September were the highest of any month since program inception. The state program recorded average weekly intake of more than 30,000 tenant applications. The monthly totals show more than 142,000 tenant applications, more than 34,000 landlord applications, and total applications of more than 176,000.

In addition to the state-administered program, many of the largest locally administered programs in California have also fully obligated and expended ERA1 funds, including both their direct federal share and proportionate share of state funds. The metropolitan areas of greater San Diego and Riverside County have requests far in excess of the combined federal and state allocations available to them. For example, as of September 30, the City of San Diego had obligated more than 100 percent of its total available resources including both direct federal allocations and state block grants of both ERA1 and ERA2 funds. Details of obligations and payments by locality are provided in the section “Geographic Distribution of Funds” beginning on page 11.

Further, consistent with guidance from the United States Department of the Treasury (Treasury), the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) established a formal process to both identify funds eligible for reallocation and implement a corresponding mechanism to reallocate available funds to high-needs areas (see “Fund Recapture and Reallocation” beginning on page 3).

Aligning with the increased volume of applications, the obligation of funds, and expenditures, HCD further augmented the Rent Relief Program’s operational resources to increase its scale, to maintain the timeliness of payments to eligible households, and to provide assistance to applicants.

¹ “ERA1” refers to Emergency Rental Assistance awards provided by Treasury pursuant to section 501 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021.

² “ERA2” refers to Emergency Rental Assistance awards issued by Treasury pursuant to section 3201 of the American Rescue Plan Act.

- The state-administered program added 208 new Call Center agents and 597 new Case Managers in September.
- Total staff added to the Call Center and customer service increased by 1,147, and totals 1,817 at the end of the month, with continued onboarding of new team members planned in the weeks ahead.

On September 27, 2021, HCD issued the “State Rental Assistance Program General Information and Guidance”³ that describes HCD’s implementation of the State Rental Assistance Program for ERA1 and ERA2. The document, which is based upon federal law, state law, and federal guidance, provides greater detail into the operation of the state’s Rent Relief Program, and is particularly important to locally administered programs that must, by law, design and implement their rental assistance programs in conformity with applicable federal and state requirements.

Continued Eviction Protections

Under Chapter 27, Statutes of 2021 (AB 832), a modified civil procedure for unlawful detainer actions for non-payment of rent is in place from October 1, 2021, through March 31, 2022. These eviction protections provide critical stability while the state and local programs continue to increase renter and landlord participation and accelerate assistance to households. This process provides eviction protections for non-payment of rent to tenants who are both eligible and are in need of assistance. These protections against eviction are directly tied to participation in rental assistance programs statewide and require that landlords must apply for assistance in either the state or corresponding local program before they can initiate an eviction for non-payment of rent.

A key component of the eviction protections, as provided by AB 832, requires both the state’s Rent Relief Program and locally administered programs to provide mechanisms, including, but not limited to, telephone or online access, through which landlords, tenants, legal representatives, and the courts may do both of the following:

- Verify the status of an application for rental assistance based upon the property address and a unique application number.
- Obtain copies of any determination relative to an application for rental assistance (i.e., name, address, and if the application has been approved, denied, or is pending).

California’s Rent Relief Program has complied with these requirements and provides assistance regarding application status via the Call Center and an online option. Additional outreach to underscore compliance with AB 832 is highlighted in the “Outreach” section of this report starting on page 5.

Alignment of Rental Assistance Programs

The state program continues to coordinate with qualifying local governments that received direct federal allocations to better align overall rental assistance into a more uniform service accessible to all eligible Californians.

With the passage of AB 832 on June 28, eligible jurisdictions had the opportunity to select their administrative option for ERA2 funds as well as the opportunity to change their current administrative option for ERA1 funds. The schedules beginning on page 11 summarize the jurisdictions participating in the state-administered program (Option A) and the locally administered program (Option B) for ERA2.

Fund Obligations

The state program is currently responsible for administering roughly \$1.2 billion of the total \$2.6 billion the state and qualifying local governments received in ERA1 federal funds. The ERA1 federal grants include a

³ Available at <https://hcd.ca.gov/grants-funding/active-funding/erap/docs/State-Rental-Assistance-Program-General-Info-and-Guidance-to-Web.pdf>

deadline of September 30, 2021 to obligate at least 65 percent of funds. By all measures, the state program **has exceeded the required obligation requirements** mandated under federal law.

Treasury allocated just under \$2.6 billion in ERA2 for California jurisdictions. As of the end of September, the state-administered program was chosen by localities to handle approximately 64 percent of the total statewide population in ERA2 administering more than 64.5 percent of the allocated funds.

Obligation Requirements

All direct federal allocations and block grant awards have specific obligation and expenditure deadlines. The federal deadline for obligating ERA1 funding is September 30, 2021. Jurisdictions shall obligate at least 65 percent of the direct federal allocation by this date, or they risk recapture and reallocation of the funds.

As per the requirements of AB 832, at least 65 percent of ERA1 block grant funds shall be obligated by August 1, 2021. After August 1, 2021, jurisdictions that did not obligate 65 percent of their total block grant funds are required to repay to HCD any unused amount of block grant funds allocated to it. However, HCD may waive the requirement to repay those funds if the jurisdiction demonstrates, to the satisfaction of HCD, that it will contractually obligate and expend any unused block grant funds allocated to it within relevant timeframes specified in federal law. In no event will HCD recapture direct federal allocations from Treasury to localities as it does not have authority to do so.

Obligation requirements for ERA2 funds are substantially different from ERA1. ERA2 funds are being disbursed from Treasury in tranches. As of the date of this report, only the first 40 percent of the total allocation has been disbursed to localities requesting funds. In order to request the remaining 60 percent of funds from Treasury, a direct recipient of ERA2 funds must show that they have obligated at least 75 percent of their first tranche of ERA2 funds. Notwithstanding the above, federal deadlines require that direct recipients of ERA2 funds shall obligate 50 percent of their total allocation of funds no later than March 31, 2022. Jurisdictions that do not meet the 50 percent obligation deadline are not eligible for reallocated ERA2 funds.

Per AB 832, jurisdictions shall contractually obligate at least 75 percent of their first tranche of ERA2 block grant funds by October 31, 2021. They shall contractually obligate 50 percent of their total ERA2 block grant funds (including both the first and second tranche) by January 31, 2022. HCD has authority to provide waivers to the block grant obligation thresholds specified by AB 832. Waivers may be granted at HCD's discretion and will be dependent on documentation of local program capacity and performance as well as records submitted through the required reporting from localities.

Fund Recapture and Reallocation

ERA1 funds that are not obligated by the September 30, 2021 federal obligation deadline are at risk of recapture and reallocation by Treasury to recipients that have met the federal obligation requirements. While the State program has met and exceeded the obligation requirement, HCD retains its discretion to recapture block grant funds not in alignment with the performance and operational deadlines described in state and federal guidance.

To promote obligation and expenditure progress tracking, jurisdictions are required to make aggregated regular progress reports against their total emergency rental assistance funds (including both direct federal allocations and state block grant funds) as detailed further in the Reporting Requirements section of HCD's State Rental Assistance Program Guidelines. The purpose of the reports is to track funding obligations and expenditures statewide to help meet performance deadlines. The weekly reports are used to identify potential problems and operational delays in locally run programs and to assist jurisdictions in implementing best practices to improve program performance, including leveraging materials and resources from the state rental assistance program targeting communications and education efforts, as well as from other locally run programs.

California COVID-19 Rent Relief State Rental Assistance Program

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – September 30, 2021

Recaptured funds may be reallocated to the state rental assistance program, or to local jurisdictions at HCD’s discretion. In reallocating these funds, HCD shall prioritize based on factors such as unmet need, rate of application submissions, rate of attrition, and rate of expenditures. The intent of the recapture and reallocation is to help ensure funding is available where and when it is in sufficient demand to be spent prior to federal deadlines and to ensure that rental protections remain intact for households in need and applying for rental assistance. To the extent feasible, HCD will work to ensure funds are spent in the jurisdiction for which they were reserved.

ERA 1 Funds Available for Reallocation

As of September 30, 2021, HCD reallocated the following ERA1 funds that had been initially allocated for anticipated block grants to align them with areas of greater need.

Original reservation	Allocation	Recaptured	Rationale
Fremont City	\$8,549,563.80	\$8,549,563.80	Local program did not demonstrate obligation of ERA1 federal allocation by the deadline to obligate state block grant funds.
Kern County	\$18,298,959.98	\$1,579,098.06	Locality's ERA1 resolution did not authorize full amount of block grant. Remainder reallocated.
Irvine City	\$10,191,004.87	\$1,000,000.00 (est.)	Locality elected to shift to Option A. It will return unspent block grant funds to the state program.
San Joaquin County	\$15,937,165.60	\$15,937,165.60	Locality elected to shift to Option A and revert block grant reservation to state program. Locality will also reallocate unspent federal funds to state (amount to be determined).
Sonoma County	\$17,528,751.05	\$17,528,751.05	Local program did not demonstrate obligation of ERA1 federal allocation by the deadline to obligate state block grant funds.
Stanislaus County	\$11,895,279.62	\$11,895,279.62	Locality elected to shift to Option A and revert block grant reservation to state program.
Modesto City	\$7,630,674.50	\$7,630,674.50	Locality elected to shift to Option A and revert block grant reservation to state program.
Total	\$90,031,399.42	\$64,120,532.63	

Note: Should demand change, every effort will be made to direct resources to meet that need.

As of September 30, 2021, HCD had reallocated available ERA1 funds from within the state reservation pool to the following block grant recipients:

Locality	Allocation	Rationale
Riverside City	\$10,735,816.87	ERA1 block grant issued based on strong demand and application backlog demonstrated by local program prior to obligation deadline.
Riverside County	\$62,405,207.86	ERA1 block grant issued based on strong demand and application backlog demonstrated by local program prior to obligation deadline.
Total	\$73,141,024.73	

Note: Additional resources are allocated to meet demand pursuant to the authority provided in AB 832.

Customer Support

During the month of September, over 176,000 new tenant and landlord applications were submitted, providing a total of 376,755 applications submitted since the inception of the program. The number of applications received in September reflects a continuing increase in application volume, with a 123 percent

California COVID-19 Rent Relief State Rental Assistance Program

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – September 30, 2021

increase in tenant applications on a monthly basis, and an increase of over 103 percent in applications for both tenants and landlords. The significant growth in the program is attributable to increased interest in rental assistance leading up to the modifications to the state’s rental protections on September 30, the addition of several new jurisdictions joining the state program, and additional outreach. To demonstrate the impact of new jurisdictions in the state-administered program, the addition of the City of Los Angeles alone accounted for more than 58,000 applications during September.

The Call Center received over 547,000 calls in September, for a total of 1,237,161 calls since the program inception. As reflected on the schedule below, HCD has consistently increased Call Center staff levels for several months to handle the anticipated increased call volume and application flow. The metrics below illustrate the augmentation of staffing to coincide with increases in call volume, application flow, significant events such as the passage of AB 832 the last week of June, a streamlined website and application, jurisdictions transferring to the state program, increased outreach, and the success of the Local Partner Network (LPN).

Incremental Monthly Metrics	6/30	7/31	8/31	9/30
Call Center & Case Management Staff Members	423	566	670	1,817
Inbound Call Volume	105,404	152,857	209,624	547,774
Approved Applications	5,302	13,273	52,738	43,526
Funds Obligated for Approved Payments	\$115.5	\$149.5	\$428.9	\$699.3
Funds Paid to Benefit Eligible Households	\$40.9	\$138.1	\$214.5	\$223.7

(dollars in millions)

Outreach

Court Coordination Outreach and Training

In preparation for the modification to the state’s rental protections on September 30, 2021, staff members from the Business, Consumer Services, and Housing Agency (BCSH) and HCD provided guidance in various forums to underscore compliance with AB 832, highlight the changes in the court processes, and describe new requirements for tenants and landlords. Informational sessions included the following:

- Sponsored by Alameda County Bar Association, training focused on attorneys representing landlords or tenants, self-help centers, law libraries, and court partners, with over 200 participating in the class.
- Sponsored by the Senate and Assembly Democratic Caucuses’ offices of communications, training provided legislative staff with additional granularity on the application of AB 832, the California COVID-19 Rent Relief Program, and the judicial process both for tenants and landlords beginning October 1. BCSH and HCD also participated in webinar townhalls for individual member offices and responded to individual office requests for information and guidance.
- Sponsored by California Apartment Association, trainer webinar for association members focused on the rent relief application process, including a lengthy Q&A session and providing written responses to member questions.
- Sponsored by the Legal Aid Community, BCSH, and HCD, training offered guidance, resources, and assistance to members of the legal aid community, local county bar associations, law libraries, and self-help centers.
- HCD provided training to participants of the Local Partner Network regarding compliance with AB 832, the court processes for both tenants and landlords, and shared resources available on the HousingIsKey.com website.

In September the HousingIsKey.com website was updated to provide eviction protection resources for both tenants, landlords, and community partners. This includes eviction protection FAQs, “A Guide To Residential Tenants’ and Landlords’ Rights and Responsibilities”, city and county eviction ordinances, and a listing (by

county) of legal aid societies and advocacy groups. A foreclosure assistance link was added to assist homeowners.

Public Relations and Communications

In September, HCD launched a \$5 million statewide television campaign to expand the media buy it launched in mid-July. Key messaging urged income-eligible households to apply for the program, reminding them that although eviction protections change on October 1, program funding is still available. The television expansion began the week of September 13 and is slated to run until November 1.

- Executed Phase V media buy in English, Spanish, Chinese, Korean, Tagalog, and Vietnamese
- Planning Phase VI to include communication in Armenian, Russian, Farsi, and Punjabi

Program staff met with consultants for the California Legislative Black Caucus on September 17 to provide an update on the state program, particularly outreach activities that have taken place since June and efforts to streamline the application process based on feedback received from advocacy groups and community based organizations.

HCD also made targeted news story pitches to statewide media to support a press event in Contra Costa County on September 27 with BCSH Secretary Lourdes Castro Ramirez and HCD Director Gustavo Velasquez regarding the transition in eviction protections and program funding availability after September 30.

In addition, HCD partnered with Los Angeles radio station KJLH and the California Legislative Black Caucus to host an application drive in Gardena on September 28 with Senator Bradford.

- Several LPN partners were onsite for this event assisting approximately 100 renters and landlords with applications and making appointments with more than 250.

Website traffic for the month of September generated 5,693,669 page views, an 81 percent increase over the previous month.

Social media hits garnered 379 original posts, 247 shared posts (by partners, media, etc.), for a total of reach of 19,709,976 viewers in September.

Local Partner Network Community Support

The community-based Local Partner Network (LPN) maintains 137 confirmed partners statewide serving those areas with highest eviction risk. The following LPN outreach metrics reflect a significant increase in activity during September:

- 7,808 appointments in September, totaling 29,661 since inception. This represents a 29 percent increase over last month.
- 28,362 inbound Appointment Center Calls in September, totaling 80,739 since inception. This represents a 115 percent increase since last month. The average handle time for calls is approximately 8.5 minutes and assistance is available in 14 languages.
- 2,925 attendees participated in 138 webinars in September.
- 5,085 phone or Zoom appointments hosted by LPN partners to support applicants, with 11,495 since inception.
- 2,361 in-person appointments by LPN partners to support applicants, with 6,549 since inception.
- In the month of September, nine LPN organizations provided onsite support for five different application drives and resource events.

To support outreach in otherwise inaccessible areas, the LPN team established a series of “Telephone Town Hall” events. These events are designed to reach individual households in targeted regions. The first of these events was held in Imperial County and the second targeted Tulare County. More than 5,000 households dialed in and participated in these events in the last week of September. Building on this success, the LPN team

is scheduling two to three “Telephone Town Hall” events per week in October and will provide them in languages other than English.

Statutory Basis for Report

(1) Pursuant to Section 50897.4 of the Health and Safety Code, the department shall submit to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, on a monthly basis for the duration of the program, a report that provides programmatic performance metrics for funds administered pursuant to this chapter. The report shall include, at minimum, the following information:

(A) Obligation of funds for assistance provided under this chapter.

- In total, over **\$2.06 billion** obligated to rent and utility assistance statewide.
- **\$1.54 billion** obligated for jurisdictions in the State-Administered Program (Option A) as of 9/30/2021.
- **\$523.3 million** in State Block Grant funds obligated by locally administered programs (Option B) as of 9/30/2021.

(B) Expenditure of funds for assistance provided under this chapter.

- In total, **\$844.3 million** expended for rent and utility assistance statewide.
- **\$649.4 million** expended on behalf of jurisdictions in the State-Administered Program (Option A) as of 9/30/2021.
- **\$194.9 million** in State Block Grant funds expended by locally administered programs (Option B) as of 9/30/2021.

(C) Expenditure by eligible uses for assistance provided pursuant to this chapter.

- All expenditures were applied to eligible uses as listed in the tables provided on the following pages of this report.

(D) Reallocation of funds, if any, for assistance provided pursuant to this chapter.

- Please refer to Fund Recapture and Reallocation beginning on page 3.

(E) Geographic distribution of funds provided pursuant to Section 50897.3 of the Health and Safety Code.

- Please refer to Geographic Distribution of Funds tables beginning on page 11.

(F) For the first monthly report submitted pursuant to this section only, an overview of which jurisdictions have elected to participate in the state rental assistance programs as provided in Sections 50897.2 and 50897.3, respectively.

- This information was provided in the first monthly report, dated June 4, 2021.

Obligations, Expenditures, and Eligible Uses of Funds

State-Administered Program - Option A Jurisdictions – ERA1 Funds

Values reflect HCD’s use of federal allocations and state reservations administered by the state on behalf of the jurisdictions under Option A and including jurisdictions previously listed as Option C.

	Rent	Utilities	Total
Funds obligated for arrears	\$1,464,359,752.76	\$19,409,195.20	\$1,483,768,947.96
Funds expended for arrears by income level	\$622,302,242.92	\$3,387,206.14	\$625,689,449.06
<=30% AMI	\$360,021,077.22	\$1,859,926.43	\$361,881,003.65
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$161,260,790.06	\$907,914.63	\$162,168,704.69
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$101,020,375.64	\$619,365.08	\$101,639,740.72
Funds obligated for prospective payments	\$58,038,623.45	\$359,931.40	\$58,398,554.85
Funds expended for prospective payments by income level	\$23,667,461.62	\$0.00	\$23,667,461.62
<=30% AMI	\$13,773,284.48	\$0.00	\$13,773,284.48
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$6,489,868.57	\$0.00	\$6,489,868.57
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$3,404,308.57	\$0.00	\$3,404,308.57

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA1 Funds

Of the 28 Option B jurisdictions, 18 were obligating State Block Grant funds as of the date of this report as listed in the table under “Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA1 Funds” on page 14.

	Rent	Utilities	Total
Funds obligated for arrears	\$306,560,829.47	\$20,544,429.88	\$327,105,259.35
Funds expended for arrears by income level	\$144,536,403.85	\$9,835,197.68	\$154,371,601.53
<=30% AMI	\$97,980,033.23	\$5,334,863.88	\$103,314,897.11
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$31,738,897.14	\$2,325,382.28	\$34,064,279.42
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$14,817,473.48	\$2,174,951.52	\$16,992,425.00
Funds obligated for prospective payments	\$96,149,746.11	\$961,767.45	\$97,111,513.56
Funds expended for prospective payments by income level	\$40,266,930.36	\$298,069.88	\$40,565,000.24
<=30% AMI	\$27,728,567.92	\$113,714.20	\$27,842,282.12
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$9,617,649.52	\$112,397.12	\$9,730,046.64
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$2,920,712.92	\$71,958.56	\$2,992,671.48

California COVID-19 Rent Relief State Rental Assistance Program

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – September 30, 2021

State-Administered Program - Option A Jurisdictions – ERA2 Funds

Through September 30, the state-administered program remained focused on fully obligating ERA1 funds. With more than 100 percent of ERA1 allocations obligated, the state program anticipates substantial obligations of ERA2 allocations beginning in October.

	Rent	Utilities	Total
Funds obligated for arrears	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Funds expended for arrears by income level	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
<=30% AMI	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Funds obligated for prospective payments	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Funds expended for prospective payments by income level	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
<=30% AMI	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA2 Funds

Of the 24 localities in Option B for ERA2, five were obligating State Block Grant ERA2 funds as of the date of this report as listed in the table under “Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA2 Funds” on page 18. The majority of Option B jurisdictions are currently obligating their federal ERA2 funds before they begin obligating their State Block Grant funds. In addition, ERA2 Block Grants expenditures have not been incurred and reported as standard agreements are currently being processed. HCD expects them to be executed in October and November, depending on each locality’s readiness for additional funding.

	Rent	Utilities	Total
Funds obligated for arrears	\$56,962,117.18	\$4,753,965.19	\$61,716,082.37
Funds expended for arrears by income level	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
<=30% AMI	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Funds obligated for prospective payments	\$37,300,271.42	\$71,877.57	\$37,372,148.99
Funds expended for prospective payments by income level	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
<=30% AMI	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

California COVID-19 Rent Relief State Rental Assistance Program

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – September 30, 2021

Household Applications by Race and Ethnicity

Values reflect applications submitted to and processed by the state-administered program for Option A jurisdictions.

Race	Submitted Applications	Approved Applications	Funds Expended
American Indian or Alaska Native	3,155	1,464	\$7,388,646.51
Asian	20,173	9,500	\$59,934,031.61
Black or African American	47,143	20,013	\$104,878,571.81
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	2,416	1,191	\$7,547,827.20
White	90,064	42,438	\$241,182,335.27
Other Multi-Racial	40,587	18,461	\$100,634,466.16
Not Reported	22,849	10,531	\$53,748,372.17
Refuse to Answer	32,043	14,402	\$74,042,659.95
Totals	258,430	118,000	\$649,356,910.68

Ethnicity	Submitted Applications	Approved Applications	Funds Expended
Hispanic or Latino	85,183	38,845	\$185,701,094.85
Non-Hispanic or Latino	127,944	58,364	\$343,958,824.65
Not Reported	24,338	11,197	\$61,205,997.05
Refuse to Answer	20,965	9,594	\$58,490,994.13
Totals	258,430	118,000	\$649,356,910.68

Geographic Distribution of Funds

State-Administered Program - Option A Jurisdictions – ERA1 Funds

Figures reflect the state-administered program’s use of federal allocations and state reservations on behalf of jurisdictions. Beginning in September 2021, all jurisdictions previously listed as Option C are now included in Option A.

Jurisdiction	Obligated				Expended			
	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility
Alpine County	\$42,190.00	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$27,000.00	\$-	\$-	\$-
Amador County	\$882,845.93	\$7,918.82	\$8,754.60	\$-	\$179,660.22	\$-	\$3,338.30	\$-
Butte County	\$7,006,954.95	\$251,026.63	\$214,562.13	\$3,983.10	\$2,701,962.19	\$68,189.79	\$69,713.26	\$-
Calaveras County	\$1,727,614.39	\$28,950.65	\$35,955.20	\$-	\$543,112.03	\$1,761.14	\$17,779.47	\$-
Colusa County	\$302,055.90	\$9,559.93	\$2,862.00	\$-	\$67,953.50	\$-	\$3,600.00	\$-
Contra Costa County	\$98,004,614.07	\$2,161,257.01	\$4,853,887.00	\$45,872.31	\$52,853,418.76	\$382,902.35	\$2,455,488.59	\$-
Del Norte County	\$1,055,714.87	\$21,230.09	\$13,515.00	\$313.29	\$341,302.46	\$701.82	\$2,037.50	\$-
El Dorado County	\$5,653,546.62	\$97,822.17	\$183,474.09	\$835.88	\$1,997,898.48	\$9,605.89	\$67,789.78	\$-
Fontana City	\$8,020,106.41	\$205,018.12	\$258,222.35	\$351.79	\$3,507,374.13	\$35,178.84	\$122,694.69	\$-
Glenn County	\$763,745.86	\$33,255.25	\$25,240.00	\$-	\$333,928.77	\$3,237.57	\$10,500.00	\$-
Humboldt County	\$7,303,402.82	\$178,968.01	\$176,470.50	\$1,566.49	\$2,436,824.97	\$11,870.01	\$64,445.10	\$-
Imperial County	\$3,356,167.25	\$61,668.84	\$139,520.53	\$2,287.27	\$1,318,347.12	\$5,835.61	\$20,760.60	\$-
Inyo County	\$368,576.71	\$12,799.81	\$10,680.00	\$72.00	\$130,436.96	\$652.45	\$538.75	\$-
Irvine City**	\$18,281,275.89	\$47,820.85	\$892,270.88	\$1,809.54	\$4,019,948.51	\$-	\$-	\$-
Kings County	\$3,235,436.81	\$142,781.82	\$120,456.53	\$4,052.20	\$1,109,847.79	\$21,773.01	\$15,191.13	\$-
Lake County	\$5,372,606.54	\$91,836.77	\$60,484.45	\$-	\$1,748,119.89	\$207.52	\$20,146.25	\$-
Lassen County	\$524,722.91	\$7,980.11	\$8,757.00	\$105.00	\$162,595.11	\$-	\$4,908.00	\$-
Los Angeles City**	\$201,686,625.74	\$335,122.52	\$3,589,722.76	\$8,555.69	\$36,390,022.53	\$30,640.81	\$762,432.32	\$-
Los Angeles County	\$483,034,883.68	\$6,455,845.81	\$24,029,942.15	\$106,402.58	\$243,762,330.33	\$1,708,563.77	\$11,415,889.30	\$-
Madera County	\$3,255,413.92	\$111,333.09	\$66,812.02	\$78.00	\$1,191,693.20	\$12,139.34	\$18,789.00	\$-
Mariposa County	\$401,154.51	\$3,683.32	\$2,830.00	\$-	\$80,370.00	\$-	\$-	\$-
Mendocino County	\$2,962,675.46	\$67,086.16	\$82,048.94	\$621.00	\$1,104,969.32	\$1,623.67	\$28,255.50	\$-
Merced County*	\$4,221,031.01	\$55,351.33	\$116,996.73	\$5,856.32	\$844,352.50	\$5,584.82	\$-	\$-
Modesto City**	\$2,237,965.78	\$64,552.50	\$19,034.00	\$610.00	\$35,244.55	\$-	\$-	\$-

California COVID-19 Rent Relief State Rental Assistance Program

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – September 30, 2021

Jurisdiction	Obligated				Expended			
	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility
Modoc County	\$219,910.00	\$704.41	\$1,100.00	\$-	\$65,730.00	\$596.61	\$-	\$-
Mono County	\$309,489.34	\$15,883.73	\$27,759.00	\$300.00	\$187,713.22	\$222.56	\$3,850.00	\$-
Napa County	\$5,021,274.54	\$99,493.92	\$195,936.03	\$508.63	\$2,401,819.89	\$10,192.46	\$55,900.74	\$-
Nevada County	\$3,298,599.23	\$55,808.78	\$66,253.52	\$938.00	\$1,121,975.59	\$6,307.89	\$22,393.50	\$-
Oakland City	\$43,854,465.91	\$564,318.02	\$1,375,679.58	\$8,853.55	\$22,396,419.01	\$49,065.15	\$641,101.71	\$-
Orange County*	\$128,259,601.25	\$1,344,408.88	\$5,404,928.86	\$24,107.11	\$54,180,979.19	\$155,031.48	\$1,566,530.95	\$-
Oxnard City	\$14,107,819.27	\$296,532.90	\$775,492.39	\$5,613.63	\$5,887,571.37	\$77,618.52	\$286,372.48	\$-
Placer County*	\$10,056,616.67	\$602,217.51	\$408,791.03	\$3,617.34	\$4,112,312.91	\$9,506.49	\$88,512.03	\$-
Plumas County	\$239,253.00	\$6,808.11	\$6,331.00	\$-	\$78,059.25	\$-	\$-	\$-
San Benito County	\$1,779,022.55	\$30,284.52	\$34,884.00	\$171.18	\$367,565.43	\$1,280.40	\$14,100.00	\$-
San Bernardino County*	\$30,884,871.06	\$638,045.55	\$1,054,674.33	\$15,521.28	\$12,140,249.12	\$85,867.71	\$309,046.84	\$-
San Francisco City and County*	\$70,871,815.42	\$594,459.25	\$1,094,323.99	\$13,580.44	\$32,972,950.53	\$27,156.61	\$55,978.28	\$-
San Joaquin County**	\$6,385,838.28	\$37,893.50	\$92,428.44	\$-	\$917,164.11	\$-	\$-	\$-
San Jose City*	\$42,982,739.06	\$726,806.63	\$2,347,319.98	\$22,820.77	\$25,551,034.03	\$75,702.62	\$994,308.68	\$-
San Luis Obispo County	\$14,448,811.33	\$163,174.06	\$304,594.67	\$1,030.58	\$3,385,622.04	\$5,868.81	\$111,228.72	\$-
San Mateo County	\$49,905,950.33	\$587,760.03	\$2,637,745.23	\$21,949.19	\$28,345,509.05	\$85,141.22	\$1,425,342.30	\$-
Santa Ana City	\$3,795,807.95	\$87,664.24	\$269,077.18	\$3,475.18	\$2,736,712.81	\$17,946.76	\$182,327.69	\$-
Santa Barbara County	\$13,123,177.43	\$200,642.10	\$577,061.14	\$742.53	\$5,219,014.92	\$8,832.76	\$55,133.00	\$-
Santa Clara County*	\$24,893,389.95	\$374,398.26	\$1,467,404.09	\$11,871.90	\$14,957,684.96	\$22,669.00	\$624,902.03	\$-
Santa Clarita City*	\$33,254,718.92	\$226,280.42	\$753,239.60	\$7,223.86	\$7,700,567.28	\$39,517.51	\$297,872.55	\$-
Santa Cruz County	\$12,861,508.22	\$289,452.18	\$585,117.71	\$3,320.91	\$5,905,493.78	\$28,238.55	\$210,651.04	\$-
Shasta County	\$6,366,763.79	\$174,393.38	\$154,765.89	\$1,045.38	\$2,208,941.66	\$31,712.53	\$34,573.50	\$-
Sierra County	\$72,605.00	\$2,490.00	\$1,400.00	\$-	\$24,220.00	\$-	\$1,060.00	\$-
Siskiyou County	\$1,571,074.48	\$45,525.75	\$20,834.00	\$48.00	\$356,098.28	\$883.06	\$7,087.50	\$-
Solano County*	\$15,440,927.18	\$61,673.54	\$77,095.24	\$325.89	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Stanislaus County**	\$2,815,533.00	\$11,786.47	\$24,285.50	\$5.31	\$218,982.26	\$-	\$-	\$-

California COVID-19 Rent Relief State Rental Assistance Program

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – September 30, 2021

Jurisdiction	Obligated				Expended			
	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility
Sutter County	\$3,109,633.07	\$110,544.10	\$64,762.00	\$11.32	\$1,012,462.11	\$8,362.54	\$17,240.50	\$-
Tehama County	\$1,783,826.14	\$28,963.02	\$17,155.00	\$4,295.29	\$577,637.78	\$-	\$6,757.75	\$-
Trinity County	\$495,073.02	\$966.57	\$2,665.00	\$-	\$82,707.02	\$-	\$-	\$-
Tulare County	\$12,198,266.12	\$426,518.69	\$331,667.86	\$6,171.13	\$4,132,560.47	\$78,481.52	\$36,269.73	\$-
Tuolumne County	\$1,692,143.70	\$31,841.41	\$29,416.97	\$201.41	\$420,356.04	\$3,253.96	\$200.00	\$-
Ventura County	\$36,356,031.71	\$738,806.23	\$2,337,486.91	\$14,700.98	\$20,288,648.67	\$197,999.41	\$1,260,346.35	\$-
Yolo County	\$8,707,668.18	\$282,427.09	\$481,323.19	\$2,360.28	\$4,430,047.42	\$45,809.35	\$213,374.40	\$-
Yuba County	\$3,494,199.63	\$97,352.34	\$103,125.26	\$1,747.87	\$1,028,719.40	\$13,472.25	\$40,701.81	\$-
Subtotals	\$1,464,359,752.76	\$19,409,195.20	\$58,038,623.45	\$359,931.40	\$622,302,242.92	\$3,387,206.14	\$23,667,461.62	\$-

* New Option A Jurisdictions that transitioned from either Option B or C.

** New Option A jurisdictions that are also included in this report as Option B prior to transition to A.

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA1 Funds

Figures reflect only the locally administered programs’ use of State Block Grant funds. Each jurisdiction is accountable for reporting directly to the US Treasury regarding use of its respective federal allocation.

Jurisdiction	Obligated				Expended			
	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility
Alameda County	\$20,745,032.97	\$768,393.49	\$5,413,906.54	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Anaheim City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Bakersfield City	\$3,724,530.03	\$770,641.86	\$446,066.01	\$-	\$664,998.35	\$208,396.98	\$446,066.01	\$-
Chula Vista City	\$5,997,042.10	\$72,587.66	\$3,413,071.31	\$-	\$5,997,042.10	\$72,587.66	\$3,413,071.31	\$-
Fremont City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Fresno City	\$8,619,350.12	\$6,460,050.73	\$-	\$-	\$2,061,380.30	\$846,485.32	\$-	\$-
Fresno County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Irvine City	\$7,351,073.18	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$7,351,073.18	\$-	\$-	\$-
Kern County	\$4,662,113.43	\$664,900.62	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Long Beach City	\$11,669,832.80	\$485,722.16	\$2,729,214.12	\$104,033.00	\$5,433,986.00	\$485,722.16	\$72,782.00	\$-
Los Angeles City	\$102,924,740.00	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$23,052,309.00	\$-	\$-	\$-
Marin County	\$10,011,799.81	\$245,864.38	\$15,722,612.22	\$559,664.57	\$9,830,603.28	\$54,960.26	\$-	\$-
Modesto City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Monterey County	\$12,015,053.87	\$1,345,036.63	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Riverside City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Riverside County	\$10,154,228.52	\$1,501,318.05	\$32,089,864.87	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Sacramento City	\$21,139,614.00	\$502,193.00	\$-	\$-	\$12,073,672.00	\$463,920.00	\$-	\$-
Sacramento County	\$22,108,520.00	\$322,722.00	\$-	\$-	\$12,633,441.00	\$298,126.00	\$-	\$-
San Bernardino City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
San Diego City	\$12,740,251.54	\$2,358,065.85	\$31,033,393.08	\$-	\$12,740,251.54	\$2,358,065.85	\$31,033,393.08	\$-
San Diego County	\$47,715,633.31	\$3,721,377.79	\$1,776,107.70	\$-	\$47,715,633.31	\$3,721,377.79	\$1,776,107.70	\$-
Sonoma County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Stockton City	\$4,982,013.79	\$1,325,555.66	\$3,525,510.26	\$298,069.88	\$4,982,013.79	\$1,325,555.66	\$3,525,510.26	\$298,069.88
Subtotals	\$306,560,829.47	\$20,544,429.88	\$96,149,746.11	\$961,767.45	\$144,536,403.85	\$9,835,197.68	\$40,266,930.36	\$298,069.88

State-Administered Program - Option A Jurisdictions – ERA2 Funds

Through September 30, the state-administered program remained focused on fully obligating ERA1 funds. With more than 100 percent of ERA1 allocations obligated, the state program anticipates substantial obligations of ERA2 allocations beginning in October.

Jurisdiction	Obligated				Expended			
	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility
Alpine County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Amador County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Butte County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Calaveras County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Colusa County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Contra Costa County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Del Norte County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
El Dorado County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Fontana City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Glenn County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Humboldt County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Imperial County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Inyo County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Irvine City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Kings County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Lake County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Lassen County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Los Angeles City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Los Angeles County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Madera County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Mariposa County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Mendocino County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Merced County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Modesto City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Modoc County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Mono County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-

Jurisdiction	Obligated				Expended			
	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility
Napa County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Nevada County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Oakland City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Orange County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Oxnard City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Placer County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Plumas County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
San Benito County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
San Bernardino County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
San Francisco City and County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
San Joaquin County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
San Jose City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
San Luis Obispo County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
San Mateo County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Santa Clara County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Santa Clarita City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Santa Cruz County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Shasta County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Sierra County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Siskiyou County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Solano County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Stanislaus County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Sutter County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Tehama County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Trinity County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Tulare County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Tuolumne County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-

Jurisdiction	Obligated				Expended			
	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility
Ventura County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Yolo County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Yuba County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Subtotals	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA2 Funds

Figures reflect only the locally administered programs’ use of State Block Grant funds. Each jurisdiction is accountable for reporting directly to the US Treasury regarding use of its respective federal allocation.

Jurisdiction	Obligated				Expended			
	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility
Alameda County	\$12,036,604.36	\$512,262.33	\$5,713,906.54	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Anaheim City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Bakersfield City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Chula Vista City	\$3,275,909.02	\$425,805.08	\$1,777,222.19	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Fremont City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Fresno City	\$6,460,050.73	\$1,416,322.30	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Fresno County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Kern County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Long Beach City	\$23,726,015.30	\$277,813.86	\$1,885,638.00	\$71,877.57	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Marin County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Monterey County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Moreno Valley City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Oakland City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Riverside City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Riverside County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Sacramento City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Sacramento County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
San Bernardino City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
San Diego City	\$11,463,537.77	\$2,121,761.62	\$27,923,504.70	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
San Diego County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Santa Ana City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Santa Barbara County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Sonoma County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Stockton City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Subtotals	\$56,962,117.18	\$4,753,965.19	\$37,300,271.42	\$71,877.57	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00